

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/963,320	09/25/2001	Travis J. Parry	10012123-1	1323	
75	7590 03/26/2004			EXAMINER	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400			AMSBURY,	AMSBURY, WAYNE P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			2171	\sim	
			DATE MAILED: 03/26/2004	, 4,	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

M

		\mathcal{M}			
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/963,320	PARRY, TRAVIS J.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
·	Wayne Amsbury	2171			
 The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply 	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr b. cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 M	<u>larch 2004</u> .				
,-	action is non-final.				
) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 	wn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement				
, <u> </u>	, oloolon roquiromania				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 September 2001</u> is/a					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
,		•			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	a hava haan ranaiyad				
1. Certified copies of the priority document2. Certified copies of the priority document		ation No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior					
application from the International Bureau					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ived.			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa	ary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail	Date			
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)	al Patent Application (PTO-152)			
. apor recognitudi dato					

Application/Control Number: 09/963,320

Art Unit: 2171

CLAIMS 1-7 ARE PENDING

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

2. Applicant's arguments filed 3/15/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues: "None of the references teach, disclose or suggest a system or method for detecting the source of an information request and for routing the request to a database based on the source detected." (It is noted that claims 8-11 are canceled by the amendment of 3/15/04. Only claims 1-7 are pending, and they are rejected on the basis of Herz alone.)

Herz matches user profiles with target data *profiles*, which in turn point to a variety of databases that contain the target *data*. The interdependence of the request source and the data returned is a theme tightly interwoven throughout Herz [SOLUTION, COL 4-8]. Note in particular FIG 14-16, where at **1402** a service provider is selected; at **1602** a specific information server is selected. The use of target data that may range from news articles to mammograms clearly teaches the use of distinct databases for target data. Furthermore the users are formed into communities [COL 8 lines 22-47].

Application/Control Number: 09/963,320

Art Unit: 2171

Applicant fails to claim a distinction between: (a) connecting a source request to a target based on attributes of the source, and (b) directing <u>identical</u> requests to distinct targets depending on the source of the request. Even that distinction is an expected component of directing requests to targets based on user profiles. Example: a doctor interested in a given form of breast cancer might wish to see mammograms, a patient probably would be interested in text, and these can be expected to be in distinct databases.

3. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Herz, US 6,029,195, 22 February 2000.

This maintains the rejection of the previous action.

Herz is directed to identification of desirable objects via either the Internet or an intranet [ABSTRACT; FIG 1; COL 31 lines 13-21 and elsewhere].

As to **claim 7**, to the extent that claim 7 is not clearly anticipated by Herz, Herz repeatedly refers to multiple users of the system who search for target information from multiple sources [FIG 1; COL 5 lines 6-20 and elsewhere].

In more detail: The multiple users of Herz correspond to multiple sources (each user in FIG 1 inherently represents a search engine such as a browser at terminal Ti), the multiple targets correspond to multiple databases, and the targets are selected in relation to the user [COL 17 Matching Buyers and Sellers is exemplary]. In more

Application/Control Number: 09/963,320

Art Unit: 2171

particular, see the variety of target sources used as examples at COL 10 line 29 and after.

As to **claim 1**, Herz repeatedly provides the choice of Internet and intranet. The former generally requires a Web browser; the latter may not. Virtually any database is managed by a DBMS, is indexed, and is searched with an appropriate search engine. In the case of a local database, a browser is not required.

The other elements of **claims 2-6** are rejected in the analysis above with respect to claims 1 and 7 and these claims are rejected on that basis.

Page 4

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/963,320

Art Unit: 2171

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wayne Amsbury whose telephone number is 703-305-3828. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH 7-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet Metjahic can be reached on 703-308-1436. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

WPA

WAYNE AMSBURY
PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER